

**E.I.A.R./E.I.S. RECOMMENDATION –
E.I.A.R./E.I.S. SUBMITTED**

APP 317446-23

1. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning/Senior Planning Inspector/Planning Inspector

From: Bh S.E.O.

Date: 21/9

☒

An EIAR/EIS is included with this appeal/application. Please recommend whether or not the EIS complies with the requirements of Article 94 and Schedule 6 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended/circular letter PL 1/2017

☐

The activity is/is not/may be licensable under the EPA Act 1992, as amended/Waste Management Act 1996, as amended. Please recommend whether or not the EPA should be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIAR/EIS, where appropriate.

2. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning

From:- Reporting Inspector

In my view, the submitted EIAR/EIS:-

☒

Complies with the above requirements

☐

Does not comply with the above requirements for the reasons set out in the attached memo.

☐

I consider that further information (as set out in the attached memo) is necessary to enable the Board to determine the appeal. I recommend that this information should be requested under Section 132 of the Regulations.

In my view, the EPA should/should not be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIAR/EIS, as appropriate.

Susan McHugh

Date: 29th July 2024

sought but
no response
received

29/7 Cy

3. Decision

Pursuant to Board Resolution of 2/12/2008, under Section 111 (6) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, which authorised me to perform certain of its functions, I hereby

☒

agree with the above recommendation.

☐

disagree with the above recommendation for reasons set out in the attached memo.

Section 37 appeals

D.O.P/A.D.P:

Can *[Signature]*

Date:

29/7/24